

Brian C. Rocca, S.B #221576
brian.rocca@morganlewis.com
Sujal J. Shah, S.B #215230
sujal.shah@morganlewis.com
Michelle Park Chiu, S.B #248421
michelle.chiu@morganlewis.com
Minna Lo Naranjo, S.B #259005
minna.naranjo@morganlewis.com
Rishi P. Satia, S.B #301958
rishi.satia@morganlewis.com

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 442-1000
Facsimile: (415) 422-1001

Richard S. Taffet, *pro hac vice*
richard.taffet@morganlewis.com
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178
Telephone: (212) 309-6000
Facsimile: (212) 309-6001

Attorneys for Defendants Google LLC, et al.

Daniel M. Petrocelli, S.B. #97802
dpetrocelli@omm.com
Stephen J. McIntyre, S.B. #274481
smcintyre@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
1999 Avenue of the Stars
Los Angeles, California 90067
Telephone: (310) 553-6700
Facsimile: (310) 246-6779

Ian Simmons, *pro hac vice*
isimmons@omm.com
Benjamin G. Bradshaw, S.B. #189925
bbradshaw@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
1625 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 383-5300
Facsimile: (202) 383-5414

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust
Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

In re Google Play Developer Antitrust
Litigation, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD

State of Utah, et al. v. Google LLC, et al., Case
No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD

Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SHORTENING TIME PER
LOCAL RULE 6-2(a)

Place: Courtroom 11, 19th Floor
Judge: Hon. James Donato

1 WHEREAS on July 21, 2021, the Developer Plaintiffs filed an administrative motion to
 2 seal in connection with certain material included in their First Amended Consolidated Class
 3 Action Complaint. Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD, Dkt. 62;

4 WHEREAS on July 21, 2021, the Consumer Plaintiffs filed an administrative motion to
 5 seal in connection with certain material included in their Consolidated First Amended Class
 6 Action Complaint. Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD, Dkt. 63;

7 WHEREAS on July 29, 2021, the States (collectively with the Developer Plaintiffs and
 8 the Consumer Plaintiffs, "Plaintiffs") filed an administrative motion to seal in connection with
 9 certain material included in their Complaint. Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD, Dkt. 93;

10 WHEREAS on August 5, 2021, Defendants Google LLC, Google Ireland Limited, Google
 11 Commerce Ltd., Google Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd., and Google Payment Corp. (collectively,
 12 "Google") submitted a response and declaration in support of its request to seal certain of the
 13 materials contained in the above-referenced administrative motions. Case No. 21-md-02981-JD,
 14 Dkt. 74;

15 WHEREAS on August 18, 2021, the Court denied these administrative motions to seal
 16 and ordered Plaintiffs to "file unredacted versions of their complaints on ECF within 7 days of
 17 this order." Case No. 21-md-02981-JD, Dkt. 79;

18 WHEREAS Google has filed an Emergency Motion to Stay the Court's August 18, 2021
 19 Order (the "Motion to Stay") to afford Google an opportunity to seek relief in connection with the
 20 Court's August 18, 2021 Order; and

21 WHEREAS Google requested, and counsel for Plaintiffs consented, that the time for
 22 briefing and hearing (if any) on Google's Motion to Stay should be shortened in accordance with
 23 N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2(a);

24 THEREFORE, pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 6-2(a), the Parties hereby
 25 STIPULATE and AGREE that Google's Motion to Stay shall be briefed and heard in accordance
 26 with the following schedule:

27

28

- **August 20, 2021 by 1:00 p.m. Pacific time:** Google files its Motion to Stay.
- **August 23, 2021:** Plaintiffs submit responses, if any, to Google's Motion to Stay.
- **August 24, 2021:** Google submits a reply, if any, to Plaintiffs' responses.
- **August 25, 2021 or as soon thereafter as practicable:** The Court hears argument on the Motion to Stay, if the Court deems it necessary.

The declaration required by N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 6-2(a) is filed herewith. *See* Declaration of Brian C. Rocca in Support of the Stipulation and Proposed Order Shortening Time Per Local Rule 6-2(a).

1 Dated: August 20, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

3 **O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP**

4 Daniel M. Petrocelli
5 Ian Simmons
6 Benjamin G. Bradshaw
7 Stephen J. McIntyre

7 **MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**

8 Brian C. Rocca
9 Sujal J. Shah
10 Richard S. Taffet
11 Minna L. Naranjo
12 Rishi P. Satia
13 Michelle Park Chiu

12 By: /s/ Brian C. Rocca
13 Brian C. Rocca

14 *Attorneys for Defendants Google LLC, et al.*

15 **BARTLIT BECK LLP**

16 Karma M. Giulianelli

17 **KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP**

18 Hae Sung Nam

19 By: /s/ Karma M. Giulianelli
20 Karma M. Giulianelli

21 *Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In*
22 *re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*

23 **PRITZKER LEVINE LLP**

24 Elizabeth C. Pritzker

25 By: /s/ Elizabeth C. Pritzker
26 Elizabeth C. Pritzker

27 *Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re*

Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

Steve W. Berman
Robert F. Lopez
Benjamin J. Siegel

SPERLING & SLATER PC

Joseph M. Vanek
Eamon P. Kelly
Alberto Rodriguez

By: /s/ Steve W. Berman
Steve W. Berman

*Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the
Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff Pure
Sweat Basketball*

HAUSFELD LLP

Bonny E. Sweeney
Melinda R. Coolidge
Katie R. Beran
Scott A. Martin
Irving Scher

By: /s/ Bonny E. Sweeney
Bonny E. Sweeney

*Co-Lead Interim Class Counsel for the
Developer Class and Attorneys for Plaintiff
Peekya App Services, Inc.*

**OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY
GENERAL**

David N. Sonnenreich

By: /s/ David N. Sonnenreich
David N. Sonnenreich

Counsel for Utah

E-FILING ATTESTATION

I, Brian C. Rocca, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.

/s/ Brian C. Rocca

Brian C. Rocca

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: _____

The Honorable James Donato
United States District Judge